

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM: Legislative Counsel 7D35 HQ	EXTENSION  6136	NO.  DATE 9 March 1976
--------------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. OGC STATINTL Attn: <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span> 7D07		3/10	<i>ELN</i>	Attached is our proposed report on H.R. 12048, a bill amending the rule-making provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act. This will also serve as our report on S. 796, a bill with substantially the same provisions. Both of these bills are attached. The House Judiciary Committee may report H.R. 12048 within the next two or three weeks; therefore we should get our comments to OMB as soon as possible. Could you please review the attached report on a priority basis. <i>-To OLC by 8/11</i>
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7. STATINTL				<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 50px; margin: 0 auto;"></div> Office of Legislative Counsel
8. OLC STATINTL Attn: <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 80px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span> 7D35 Hqs.				
9.				
10.				
11. STATINTL				Bill:  We concur in the proposed letter to the committee chairman concerning H.R. 12048. See our penciled suggestions on page two. Also, would not "opposes" be stronger and less likely to be misread than "recommends against" on page three?
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 50px; margin: 0 auto;"></div> Associate General Counsel